



Muhammad Shahid Ramzan¹ & Dr. Robina Yasmin²

¹PhD Scholar, Department of Pakistan Studies, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur,

Email: muhammadshahid479@gmail.com

²Chairperson, Department of Pakistan Studies, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur,

Email: robina.yasmeen@iub.edu.pk

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
Sutlej River, Hydro politics, Water Security, Regional Cooperation, Transboundary Water Governance, Indus Waters Treaty, India-Pakistan Relations, Water Diplomacy, Climate Change, South Asia. ARTICLE HISTORY Date of Submission: 15-07-2026 Date of Acceptance: 19-08-2026 Date of Publication: 23-08-2026	The Sutlej River, a major tributary of the Indus River system, holds significant strategic, economic, and environmental importance for South Asia. Flowing through China (Tibet), India, and Pakistan, the river serves as a vital source of irrigation, hydropower generation, and freshwater supply for millions of people. However, increasing water scarcity, climate change, rapid population growth, and expanding infrastructural developments have intensified the hydro political challenges associated with its management. Although the Indus Waters Treaty (1960) has long been regarded as one of the world's most successful transboundary water-sharing agreements, emerging environmental and geopolitical realities have exposed limitations in its capacity to address contemporary water security concerns. This study explores hydro politics of Sutlej River by looking at the connection between transboundary water governance and regional cooperation in South Asia. The study employs a qualitative case study method, relying on secondary sources, such as official documents on the treaty, government publications, academic literature, and documents by other organizations internationally. Thematic analysis serves to determine the viability of the current governance mechanisms, key environmental and political obstacles of the collaborative water management of India and Pakistan, and the prospects of enhancing the same. The results indicate that despite the fact that the Indus Waters Treaty has helped in avoiding large-scale interstate water wars, the treaty needs institutional adjustment to adapt efficiently to changing climatic circumstances, rising water demands, environmental degradation, and the shifting security landscape in the regions. The study postulates that better water diplomacy, openness in data sharing, integrated river basin management and strong regional institutions are critical to encouraging sustainable water management. Demonstrating the Sutlej River as a resource of strategic significance and a possible site of collaboration, the study will be a part of the further discussion on transboundary water governance and will provide policy initiation to promote regional stability, ecological and long-term water security in South Asia.  2026 Journal of Social Sciences Development
Corresponding Author	Muhammad Shahid Ramzan
Email:	muhammadshahid479@gmail.com
DOI	https://doi.org/10.59075/jssd.v5i6.321
Volume/Issue	5(6), 2026, 251-262

Introduction

The freshwater resources have become one of the most strategically crucial natural resources of the twenty-first century, taking the key position in the economic development, food security, energy production, environmental sustainability and the international relations. With the ever-increasing global populations, and changing climatic patterns that are impacting the hydrological cycles, transboundary river basin management has become a core issue with both policy makers and scholars intensively paying attention to the issue. Almost 50 percent of the global population relies on either directly or indirectly on the rivers that intersect international boundaries, and since no peace or sustainable development is possible without water governance in the regions, it is critical to ensure that the various rivers are well managed (United Nations, 2023).

South Asia is a water stressed part of the world despite having some of the major transboundary river regimes in the world. The area is marked by high population growth rates, rising agricultural needs, rapid urbanization rates, and rising industrialization, which have increased stress on the freshwater, which is available. Phenomena, like a glacier melting, uneven monsoon cycles, floods, and prolonged droughts caused by climate, additionally endanger water supply and exacerbate the risks of the inter-state conflict around a shared river (IPCC, 2023). Therefore, water has transformed as an environmental resource to a major element of a regional security and a foreign policy.

In this larger context, the Indus River Basin plays a central role in South Asian hydro-politics. The basin is the main source of irrigation to one of the largest systems in the world and provides the lives of hundreds of millions of people, among which China, India, Pakistan, and Afghanistan are the most significant countries (World Bank, 2021). The Sutlej River holds a special strategic value among its tributaries due to the transboundary character and to its impact on agricultural productivity, hydropower development, and household water. The river was formed in the Tibetan Plateau around Lake Rakshastal, after which it flows through the Himalayan region to the Indian state of Himachal Pradesh and the Punjab before entering Pakistan where it later drains into the Chenab River of the Indus System. The river Sutlej is a critical resource shared by upstream and downstream riparian states due to this geographical location.

The Sutlej River is mostly under the governance of the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) signed between India and Pakistan in 1960 under the World Bank. This treaty put under the control of India the eastern rivers; Sutlej, Ravi, and Beas and gave Pakistan access to the western rivers which included Indus, Jhelum and Chenab (World Bank, 1960). Many have viewed the IWT as possibly the most effective transboundary water cooperation due to the fact that it has endured numerous wars and drawn-out political strains between the two nations. However, researchers are increasingly finding that the treaty represents the political nature of the 1950s and fails to start considering the current issues of climate variability, environmental scarcity, groundwater depletion, environmental conservation, and the increasing demands of water resources (Biswas, 2011; Salman, 2018).

The economic significance of the Sutlej River has grown significantly over the past few decades owing to the rise in investments in hydropower development schemes, irrigation schemes as well as water diversion schemes. India is still engaged in hydroelectricity projects and canals development in an effort to ensure that agricultural need and energy needs are fulfilled, and Pakistan is worried about the amount of water at the bottom and what effect interventions will have at the upper end. Despite the fact that most of such projects exist in the legal framework of the Indus Waters Treaty, they have led to a political mistrust, and enhanced the feeling of water insecurity, especially in seasons of low river flow (Mustafa, 2010; Khan, 2022).

The hydro-political situation is further complicated by climate change in the Sutlej Basin. According to the scientific evidence, Himalayan glaciers, which serve as a valuable source of river runoff, are receding at even more alarming rates as a result of increasing world temperatures. At the same time, more unstable monsoon rainfall has added to the flood risks, water shortages at other times, posing more uncertainty to agriculture, energy production, and ecosystem sustainability (IPCC, 2023). These changes in the environment reveal constraints in the current governance systems and point to the necessity of responsive, collaborative, and scientific solutions to transboundary water management.

In addition to the bilateral India-pakistan relations, another issue of regional cooperation that will pose a challenge in South Asia is the Sutlej River. Joint water resources hold the potential to either aggravate or even foster mutual trust among geopolitical rivals because of the mechanisms of advantageous governance. In modern water diplomacy, information exchange, shared planning of a basin, environmental protection and collaboration at an institutional level are seen to be viable tools of minimizing conflict and increasing Stability in the region (Sadoff and Grey, 2005). The reinforcement of such mechanisms in the Sutlej River Basin would lead to not just greater water security but also to more global agendas of sustainable development, climate resilience, and integration in the region.

It is against this background that the current research looks at the Hydro-politics of the Sutlej River in terms of the role played by contemporary water governance in terms of regional cooperation in South Asia. It assesses how well current institutional setups are working, examines new environmental and geopolitical issues, and recommends ways of reinforcing collaborative water diplomacy between India and Pakistan. The Sutlej River can be placed in the broader framework of transboundary water governance, and this research serves to support the academic discussions on the nature of water security, as well as provide policy advice that can enhance the peace of the region in the long term and the sustainability of the resources.

Problem Statement and Research Rationale

The overall impact of climate change, increased water demand, explosive population growth, and geopolitical tension has compounded the sustainable management of transboundary rivers. This is especially clear in South Asia where collective river systems are major producers of agriculture as well as energy production and domestic water supply and at the same time potential sites of inter-state conflict. The Sutlej River will be one of such common rivers, but it symbolizes a pivotal

example of studying the convergence of water management, regional security, and international collaboration.

Despite the fact that the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) has been acclaimed to be one of the most resilient international water sharing treaties, its institutional framework was negotiated over 60 years ago in vastly different environmental, demographic, and political circumstances (World Bank, 1960). The treaty mainly concentrates on how the river waters should be shared between India and Pakistan but gives few arrangements on how to resolve the arising challenges like climate change or environmental sustainability, groundwater depletion, ecological conservation, and integrated river basin management (Salman, 2018). Therefore, scholars and policymakers have increasingly doubted the ability of the treaty to provide effective responses to modern issues of water governance.

The Sutlej River Basin has undergone significant changes in environmental and socioeconomic aspects within the last few decades. A combination of increasing temperatures and certain monsoon precipitation has become more unpredictable has contributed to accelerated melting of Himalayan glaciers, and willing shifts in seasonal river flows and intensified flooding and drought (IPCC, 2023). Concurrently, increased irrigation systems, development of hydropower systems, industrialization, and urbanization, have considerably exerted a high level of competition in limited freshwater resources. These pressures have increased the concern on water security and have made managing transboundary water resources more complex using political boundaries (World Bank, 2021).

Political relations between India and Pakistan add extra to these challenges. Though the Indus Waters Treaty has kept at bay the chances of direct conflict by sharing common rivers, political tension always tends to recur, thereby affecting the attitudes towards upstream infrastructure projects and downstream water supply. Hydroelectric dams and water storage systems erected under the Sutlej Basin have raised reservations in Pakistan over the timing and amount of flows in the river, which has led to distrust towards each other despite treaty-based mechanisms of resolving disputes (Mustafa, 2010; Khan, 2022). These developments prove that without a long-term political conversation, transparency of institutions and scientific collaboration, legal agreements cannot achieve effective water management.

Beyond the bilateral aspect, the Sutlej River exemplifies the general constraints in regional water governance in South Asia. To date, regional organizations have not succeeded much to create a unified framework of transboundary water cooperation, which makes institutional frameworks fragmented and lack a sufficient coordination of various concerns like climate adaptation, reduction of disaster risk, conservation of the ecological environment, and sharing of hydrological data. Regional stability and sustainable development can be jeopardised as the lack of collaborative governance frameworks fuels the ever-growing environmental pressures.

Although strategically the Sutlej River is important, current scholarly work has focused on the legal side of the Indus Waters Treaty or even the hydro-politics of the wider Indus Basin. Fairly little effort has so far been made to study the case of the Sutlej River as a separate subject of

transboundary water governance and analyze what this might mean in terms of cooperation in South Asia. Moreover, there are comparatively a small number of studies that employ an environmental, political, legal, and security focus within a singular analysis paradigm.

The paper fills these gaps by examining the effects of the Sutlej River governance on regional cooperation between India and Pakistan in the context of South Asian hydro-politics. It aims at measuring the successes of the current institutional settings, challenges that are emerging with respect to water security and policy avenues that could enhance the power of cooperative water policy. Through this, the study adds to the emerging body of research on transboundary water governance and presents viable suggestions to facilitation of sustainable resource management, environmental resilience and peace in the region.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to examine the hydro-politics of the Sutlej River and assess its implications for water security and regional cooperation in South Asia. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. To examine the historical evolution and institutional framework governing the Sutlej River, with particular emphasis on the Indus Waters Treaty (1960).
2. To analyze the major environmental, political, and socioeconomic challenges affecting sustainable water governance in the Sutlej River Basin.
3. To evaluate the implications of Sutlej River management for water security and bilateral relations between India and Pakistan.
4. To explore the role of water diplomacy and transboundary governance in promoting regional cooperation and sustainable management of shared water resources in South Asia.

Research Questions

The study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How has the Indus Waters Treaty shaped the governance and management of the Sutlej River?
2. What are the principal environmental, political, and institutional challenges affecting water security in the Sutlej River Basin?
3. How does the management of the Sutlej River influence regional cooperation and bilateral relations between India and Pakistan?
4. What policy measures and cooperative governance mechanisms can strengthen transboundary water management and promote sustainable regional cooperation in South Asia?

Significance of the Study

The paper is a new addition to the existing literature on hydro-politics, transboundary water management, and the relationship between water and regional security by studying a unique case of the Sutlej River in the Indus River Basin. Although relatively little has been done on the topic, the current body of scholarship has tended to concentrate on the wider Indus Basin or the legal aspects of the Indus Waters Treaty, there has been comparatively little examination on how the Sutlej River has played strategic role in influencing the current water management and regional collaboration. The study seals this gap by offering an all-encompassing evaluation of the political and environmental and institutional conditions that affect the management of one of the most important transboundary rivers in South Asia.

The study is relevant to the policy as well. Its results underscore adaptive governance systems that have the potential to solve new challenges related to climate change, rising water demand, hydropower development, and environmental degradation. The policies suggested in this report can help policy-makers, water management institutions, regional bodies and diplomatic missions in enhancing collaborative institutions regarding collective control of rivers.

Moreover, the research fits into the bigger discourse of environmental security and sustainable development by revealing that effective transboundary water management could be used as a tool of building confidence between the involved states. Enhanced collaboration on the common water utilization can help to decrease political tensions, enhance the resilience of the region to climate change, and also advance the long-term socioeconomic development. As such, this study is likely to have a positive impact on researchers, practitioners, and policymakers who address the area of international relations, environmental governance, and water diplomacy, as well as the study of the South Asian region.

Literature Review

The increased importance of freshwater resources has made transboundary water governance to be one of the highest concerns in international relations and environmental policy. Cooperation opportunities and political tension are both common in areas where water demand surpasses supply, especially in areas that are shared by rivers basins. Scholars are of the view that transboundary river governance goes past resource distribution and is since closely related to national security, economic, environmentally sustainable, and regional stability (Sadoff and grey, 2005). As a result, the idea of hydro-politics has received more and more demand, with countries trying to reconcile sovereign concerns with the practical state management of common water resources.

South Asia is one of the most complicated hydro-political regions of the globe because it is relying on the unity of river networks, and it is the place of interstate tensions as well. India and Pakistan share the Indus River Basin, which is the source of a huge agricultural output and servicing the needs of millions of humans. Although the adoption of Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) since 1960 has been successful, researchers have argued that the treaty is largely about water

sharing and not holistic management of the river basin. With the ever changing environmental conditions and water demands, the current institutional frameworks are under strain to have new challenges of climate change, ecological degradation and the growing domestic demands (Biswas, 2011; Salman, 2018).

The Sutlej River lies in a strategically significant place in the Indus Basin due to the irrigation, power generation, and food security in the region. Literature available appreciates the value of the river that supports agricultural economies in India and Pakistan and at the same time affects bilateral political relations. The Indus Waters Treaty, which gives the eastern rivers to India, has, nevertheless, prerequisites regarding the infrastructure development upstream, the changing of seasonal flows, and water availability, which influence the politics between the two nations. Scholars posit that these fears are fueled not just by legal and technical aspects but also by a wider geopolitical distrust that defines the dynamics between India and Pakistan (Mustafa, 2010; Khan, 2022).

Climate change is now among the most important issues that determine the sustainability of transboundary river basin in the long term. The Intergovernmental panel on climate change (IPCC, 2023) mentions the extreme weather such as floods and long periods of droughts as examples of events that are becoming more frequent due to rising temperatures of the earth, a factor that is worsening the variety of adverse effects the earth experiences. These environmental alterations directly affect the patterns of river discharge, decrease the water supply in dry seasons, and bring more uncertainty to agricultural production and hydropower generation. There is a growing scholarly consensus that climate resilience should be added as a key element in the transboundary water governance process in case the current agreements will work under new environmental circumstances (Immerzeel, van Beek, and Bierkens, 2010).

Water diplomacy has gained popularity in attempting to ensure lower tensions between states, as well as enhancing mutual administration of a shared river. Instead of focusing on water as a source of conflict, modern literature focuses on how water can be used as a confidence-building instrument by providing information, conducting research work collaboratively, and preparing infrastructure plans and collaborating with institutions (Zeitoun and Warner, 2006). These strategies will motivate riparian states to abandon their competitive water management paradigm to progress to more cooperative forms of governance that promote environmental sustainability and peace in the region. However, politics of distrust and political asymmetry of power and politics still curtails the application of these collaborative systems in South Asia.

Regional agencies, like SAARC and BIMSTEC, can help in having a dialogue on environmental security and interstate water management. Nevertheless, available literature shows that these institutions have been contributing very little in coming up with comprehensive regional structures of common river representation. They have been limited in their efficiency to resolving typical water-related issues due to political conflicts, lack of coordinating institutions and the lack of binding agreements (Brahma Chellaney, 2013). As a result, researchers are still promoting closer regional collaboration that is facilitated by scientific expertise, climate-change adaptation plans, and cohesive water resource management principles.

Despite the fact that much literature has been done on the Indus Waters Treaty and India-pakistan water relations, limited research actually detailed the hydro-politics of the Sutlej River as a specific case in the larger context of the Indus Basin. The majority of the literature that is available highlights legal provisions, the treaty implementation or bilateral conflicts and pays relatively insufficient attention to the joint impact of climate change, water security, environmental regime as well as regional cooperation. This paper attempts to fill this gap by offering a combined discussion of the hydro political context of the Sutlej River and the opportunity by transboundary water governance to achieve sustainable development and regional stability and long term cooperation in South Asia.

Research Methodology

This paper uses a qualitative research design to investigate the hydro-politics of the Sutlej River and its effects on water security and regional co-operation in south Asia. A qualitative methodology would be relevant since the study is aimed at examining the political, legal and environmental aspects of transboundary water governance as opposed to quantifying or quantifying relationships. This paper uses the Sutlej River Basin as a case study to comprehend the relationship of water management practices in the interstate relations especially between India and Pakistan.

This study relies solely on secondary data obtained through a diverse array of authoritative sources, such as the Indus Waters Treaty (1960), government resources, World Bank and the United Nations reports, policy sources, peer-reviewed journal articles, books and reports by international organizations. The sources are detailed about the water governance, climate change, hydro-politics and regional cooperation in the Indus Basin.

The analysis of data was conducted with the help of thematic analysis, according to which the data of different sources were systematically revised and systematically ordered into repeated thematic categories, i.e., water security, treaty implementation, climate change, hydropower development, interstate relations, and regional cooperation. This method of analysis helped to highlight major trends, issues and opportunities surrounding the management of the Sutlej River.

Though the secondary sources employed in the study and the absence of field surveys and interviews reduce the validity of the results, the application of various and valid literature make the results credible by triangulating data. The methodology offers a detailed overview of the changing nature of the Sutlej River hydro-politics in addition to presenting evidence-based arguments in strengthening the transboundary governance of water and regional collaboration in South Asia (Creswell, 2014; Yin, 2018).

Findings and Discussion

The Indus Waters Treaty: A Stable but Outdated Framework

The discussion has shown how the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) has proved to be one of the most enduring international water-sharing agreements in spite of continued political acrimony

between India and Pakistan. The treaty, which started to be effective in 1960 and which clearly divides the eastern rivers such as the Sutlej to India and the western rivers to Pakistan, has since then helped to avert the issue of interstate water dispute at large scale (World Bank, 1960). Its survival also proves that even in the unfavorable political conditions institutional arrangements can be maintained.

Yet, the discussion shows that the treaty was made to divide water instead of facilitate integrated management of river basins. Modern problems like climate change, environmental degradation, depletion of ground water and conservation of ecosystems are given minimal consideration in its legal framework. As a result, despite the continued stability offered by the treaty, its potential to deal with the emergent hydro-political issues has been on a downwards trend (Biswas, 2011; Salman, 2018). According to these findings, such cooperation that should be integrated in future must be modernized without compromising the main principles of the treaty.

Climate Change and Growing Threats to Water Security

The paper also determines climate change as the most influential factor to the long term sustainability of Sutlej River Basin. Himalayan glacier melting is also being faster than previously due to warmer climates, whereas abnormal monsoon cycles have led to more floods, droughts, and seasonal changes in the quantity of rivers (IPCC, 2023). The direct impacts of these environmental changes relating to irrigation, generation of hydropower, biodiversity, and lack of water in the house hold.

Analysis also shows that the increase in population, larger agricultural growth and growing industrial demands have further strained the already scarce freshwater resources. Water security has thus come to be not only a matter of environmental concern, but a multidimensional issue of food security, energy security, and human security. Current governance systems seem to be inadequate to address such interrelated issues, and climate-adaptive water management approaches are necessary (World Bank, 2021).

Hydro-politics and India-Pakistan Relations

The other significant discovery is that the mistrust in the political facet still plays a role in the perception of water governance between India and Pakistan. Even though the majority of Indian hydropower and infrastructural projects along the Sutlej River adhere to the stipulations of the Indus Waters Treaty, Pakistan often raises concerns about diminished downstream flow, as well as cumulative effects of interventions upstream. Such issues are usually more of a matter of geopolitical tension than a technical difference (Mustafa, 2010; Khan, 2022).

The results indicate that hydropolitics in South Asia transpire under both legal and political aspects. Poor transparency, lack of sharing of hydrological information and lack of regular and technical dialogue contributes to suspicion. Enhancing institutional communication and increasing scientific collaboration can hence originate the uncertainty and build confidence between two riparian states.

Opportunities for Cooperative Water Governance

Even though some challenges have been noticed, the results promise significant possibilities of enhancing regional collaboration by implementing better transboundary water management. Experience at the international level has proven that common river basins can be turned into centers of collaboration with the help of clear institutions and mutual scientific studies, integrated planning of river basins, and efficient mechanisms of information exchange (Sadoff & Grey, 2005).

In the case of Sutlej River Basin, coordinated actions, including real-time exchange of hydrological data, collaboration in climate adaptation schemes, environmental surveillance, and cooperation in managing disasters, may greatly improve and increase the water security and decrease political differences. Moreover, regional organizations such as SAARC and BIMSTEC would be able to collaborate technically by offering neutral space to discuss climate resilience and sustainable water management. These efforts would help not only in sustainable management of the Sutlej River but also in regional stability and environmental security of the South Asian region.

In general, the findings indicate that the work of the Sutlej River poses a strategic challenge, as well as a major opportunity. Although climate change, mistrust in politics, and institutional constraints still make water governance a challenging endeavor, empowered water diplomacy and adaptive governance can turn what is currently present as a tool of enmity, especially among shared water groups, into one of collaboration. The value of shifting beyond the conventional water allocation to integrated coordinated and collaborative water management of transboundary rivers is emphasized here.

Conclusion

Sutlej River is strategically located in the Indus River Basin and plays a very important role as a source of water to agriculture, hydropower generation and socioeconomic development of India and Pakistan. This paper shows that although the Indus Waters Treaty has established a long-term institutional system of water resource management, it is now being eroded by modern day challenges of climate change, increasing water demand, environmental degradation and political distrust. These changing circumstances entail governance systems that go beyond the water allocation to include adaptive, integrated, and climate-resilient governance systems.

The results also indicate that the Sutlej River hydropolitics is a product of an interplay of environmental forces and geopolitics. Even though the treaty has averted the big interstate water wars, sustainable water governance requires increased transparency, scientific collaboration and on-going diplomatic interaction amongst the riparian states. Enhancement of collaborative mechanisms can minimize uncertainty, promote water security and help to stabilize the region.

All in all, the research has found that the Sutlej River cannot be perceived simply as a disputed transboundary resource but as a chance to enhance the water diplomacy and cooperation in the region in South Asia. Proper management of the common water resources can contribute to

sustainable development and the environmental stability as well as sustainable peace in the environment of the states.

Policy Recommendations

The following policy recommendations will be suggested according to the findings of this study:

1. **Streamline the treaty of the Indus Waters:** The treaty ought to undergo periodic review and consideration to adapt it to the aspects of climate change, protection of environment and management of the river basin holistically without compromising its essence.
2. **Enhance Hydrological Information Exchange:** The two countries (India and Pakistan) must set up clear and real-time systems to facilitate the exchange of hydrological and meteorological information to enhance trust, disaster readiness, and water control.
3. **Encourage Cross-border Joint Scientific Research:** Partnerships between both countries and academic institutions as well as technical agencies should facilitate joint scientific research on tailoring glacier dynamics, climate change, river ecology and water conservation.
4. **promote Institutional Cooperation:** Permanent Indus Commission should be empowered by developing technical dialogue, having more frequent meetings and by promoting concerted problem solving to emerging water related problems.
5. **Establish Climate-Resilient Water Policies:** Water management policies that enhance efficiency of irrigation and promote water conservation technologies, and enhance flood and drought resistance in both countries should be established.
6. **Use Regional Platforms:** Regional platforms like SAARC and BIMSTEC ought to be used to promote discussion on transboundary water management, environmental security, and climate adaptation to ensure further regional collaboration.
7. **Embrace Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM):** Sustainability in the management of the Sutlej River should consider ecological conservation, stakeholder involvement, resource management and a basin wide planning in order to balance the need to develop it with its sustainability.

Their application would enhance transboundary water governance, enhance water security and promote better collaboration between the south Asian countries so that the Sutlej River could become a source of sustainable development and inter state relations in South Asia.

References

- Biswas, A. K. (2011). Cooperation or conflict in transboundary water management: Case study of South Asia. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 56(4), 662–670.
- Brahma Chellaney. (2013). *Water, peace, and war: Confronting the global water crisis*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Immerzeel, W. W., van Beek, L. P. H., & Bierkens, M. F. P. (2010). Climate change will affect the Asian water towers. *Science*, 328(5984), 1382–1385.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2023). *Climate change 2023: Synthesis report*. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.
- Khan, M. (2022). *Reassessing transboundary water treaties: Lessons from the Indus and Ganges*. Islamabad: Pakistan Institute of Policy Studies.
- Mustafa, D. (2010). *Hydropolitics in Pakistan's Indus Basin*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace.
- Sadoff, C. W., & Grey, D. (2005). Cooperation on international rivers: A continuum for securing and sharing benefits. *Water International*, 30(4), 420–427.
- Salman, S. M. A. (2018). The Indus Waters Treaty: Looking back, looking forward. *Water International*, 43(1), 4–14.
- United Nations. (2023). *The United Nations world water development report 2023: Partnerships and cooperation for water*. Paris, France: UNESCO.
- World Bank. (1960). *Indus Waters Treaty 1960*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. (2021). *South Asia water security initiative*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Zeitoun, M., & Warner, J. (2006). Hydro-hegemony: A framework for analysis of transboundary water conflicts. *Water Policy*, 8(5), 435–460.